

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,409	11/24/2003	Feng Chen	CS 03-039	5057	
30402	7590 01/27/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WILLIAM S PMB 455	STOFFEL		SHAKER	I, HADI	
	ET ST STE. A		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7502			3723		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/)/'		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/720,409	CHEN ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	-		
	Hadi Shakeri	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 04 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further complete (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belom (c) They are not deemed to place the application in betom the complete in	nsideration and/or search (see NO <sup>-</sup> w);	TE below);			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	21 See attached Notice of Non-Co	moliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  Discription of the compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .		l be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,5,7,9,10,12-15,19 and 21-36</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).		
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
<ul> <li>11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but the claims would be rejected over prior art of record sinct because the teaching from Chen is that changing the shadebris, whether the grooves are in the pad or ring does related to the lattached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	e the arguments, e.g., Chen is not apes of grooves for channeling slur not change the teaching since the g	relating to rings is no rry would avoid accun rrooves are used to c	persuasive nulation of		
3. Other:					
		Hadi Shakeri Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3723			
	1 /	<del></del>			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)